



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/694,383 | 10/27/2003 | Ekambar R. Kandimalla | HYB-005US4 | 5766 |
| 7590 WAYNE A. KEOWN SUITE 1200 500 WEST CUMMINGS PARK WOBURN, MA 01801 | | | EXAMINER HORNING, MICHELLE S | |
| | | | ART UNIT 1648 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 06/09/2008 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/694,383

Applicant(s)

KANDIMALLA ET AL.

Examiner

MICHELLE HORNING

Art Unit

1648

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHELLE HORNING.(3) Wayne Keown.

(2) _____.

(4) Steven Ritter.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Schwartz reference.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants provided a detailed overview over the teachings of Schwartz et al. Applicants further provide that these teachings do not support a 7-DG in CpG sequences..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michelle Horning/
Examiner, Art Unit 1648

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.